Application No.: 10/532,936 Docket No.: 0033-0996PUS1
Reply dated May 4, 2011 Page 5 of 7

Reply to Office Action of January 05, 2011

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 61-66 are now present in this application. Claim 61 is independent.

Claims 61-66 have been amended. Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is

respectfully requested.

Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Applicants thank the Examiner for acknowledging Applicants' claim for foreign priority

under 35 U.S.C. § 119, and receipt of the certified priority document.

Examiner Interview

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to Applicant's

representative during the personal interview which was conducted on April 5, 2011. An Examiner Interview Summary was made of record by the Examiner. During the interview,

Applicants' representative presented a proposed amendment to move the "schedule describing

unit." The Examiner requested that the preamble be amended to include that the data structure

includes a sequence managed by a schedule describing unit, and that the "input operation" be

changed to "predetermined editing operation." The Examiner indicated that Ching and Ohba do

not disclose a data structure that includes a sequence and do not disclose an editing operation during the sequence.

The claims have been amended in the manner discussed during the interview (as attached

to interview Summary mailed April 14, 2011), and are believed to place the application into condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of the present application

are respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 61-66 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject

matter. This is a new ground of rejection.

Application No.: 10/532,936 Docket No.: 0033-0996PUS1
Reply dated May 4, 2011 Page 6 of 7

Reply to Office Action of January 05, 2011

Recent policy of the USPTO has recommended that claims directed to computer-readable storage medium be amended to explicitly recite "non-transitory computer-readable storage

medium "

The claims can be amended to recite "A non-transitory computer-readable recording

medium."

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 61-65 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent

7,260,785 (Ching) in view of U.S. Application Publication 2002/0120701 (Ohba). Further, claim 66 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Ching taken together with Ohba

and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,862,372 (Morris). A complete discussion of the Examiner's

rejection is set forth in the Office Action.

As mentioned above, Applicants' representative had presented a proposed amendment for

claim 61 that included an amendment to clarify the claimed "schedule describing unit In addition to the proposed amendment to move the "schedule describing unit," the Examiner requested that

to the proposed amendment to more are sometime deserting and, are proposed amendment to more are

the preamble be amended to include that the data structure includes a sequence managed by a schedule describing unit, and that the "input operation" be changed to "predetermined editing

operation." The Examiner indicated that Ching and Ohba do not disclose a data structure that

includes a sequence and do not disclose an editing operation during the sequence.

The claims have been amended in accordance with discussion during the interview.

Applicants request that the rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn, and that the application be

placed in condition for allowance.

In the event that the Examiner believes that further minor amendment may be necessary,

Applicants request that the Examiner contact the Applicants' representative to discuss the further

minor amendment.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or

rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

CG/RWD/rwd

Application No.: 10/532,936 Docket No.: 0033-0996PUS1
Reply dated May 4, 2011 Page 7 of 7

Reply to Office Action of January 05, 2011

complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.

In view of the above amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact **Robert W. Downs**, Registration No. 48222 at the telephone number of the undersigned below to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Director is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge any fees required during the pendency of the above-identified application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Dated: May 4, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

By Kolet Down # 48222 Charles Gorenstein Robert Downs

Registration No.: 29271

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

F.O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747 703-205-8000